Docket No.: S63.2B-9919-US01

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Jonathan Stinson

Application No.: 10/037036

Filed: October 25, 2001

For: Balloon Expandable Polymer Stent With Reduced

Elastic Recoil

Patent No.: 7572287

Issue Date: August 11, 2009 Examiner: Vi X Nguyen

Group Art Unit: 3734

Mail Stop <u>Petition</u> Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Request for Reconsideration of Application for Patent Term Adjustment for Office Failure to Issue the Patent Within 3 Years

On December 22, 2008 the applicant filed a request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment for the above patent application arguing that the Office improperly has not included three year delay term days and citing *Wyeth v. Dudas*, 88 USPQ2d 1538 (DC DC 2008).

On July 15, 2009 the Senior Petitions Attorney issued a paper holding decision on that request in abeyance until the patent had issued on the ground that the Office does not calculate the time under 37 CFR 1.702(b) until the issue date has been determined and giving the applicant two months after the issue date, without additional fee, to request reconsideration in light of the final patent term adjustment calculated by the Office. A copy of the July 15, 2009 decision is attached.

The applicant hereby requests reconsideration of the patent term adjustment determination made by the Director and reported in the Issue Notification attached.

The patent issued on the August 11, 2009 with a final Patent Term Adjustment calculated at 1718 days. A copy of the USPTO PAIR record showing the calculation of the 1718 days is also attached. Although no days are listed as "three years" days, under the PAIR heading "Patent Term Adjustment" the USPTO delay days is given as 1751 days which corresponds to the time from the three year anniversary to the issue date, not the 868 PTO days shown on the "Patent Term Adjustment History" heading (some of the latter of which overlaps the three year delay). It thus appears that the PAIR record calculated USPTO delay using only the 3 years delay without including the USPTO delay days that do not overlap with the 3 year delay. This violates the decision of *Wyeth v. Dudas*, which holds that the days of USPTO delay in the prosecution history that are non-overlaping are to be added to the three years days.

The applicant submits that under *Wyeth v. Dudas*, the proper calculation is as follows:

| Three Year delay days | 1751 |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| Non-overlapping USPTO Delay days | |
| (i.e. those shown in PAIR record | |
| prior to 10/26/2004) | 404 |
| Applicant delay days | |
| (as shown in PAIR record) | (33) |
| | |
| Correct Patent Term Adjustment days | 2122 |

All items required under 37 CFR 1.705 having been provided, the applicant requests that the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment provided in the Issue Notification be corrected to show an adjustment of **2122** days.

In Re: Jonathan Stinson Patent No. 7,572,287 Application No: 10/037036

Request for Decision on Earlier Supervisory Petition

Page 3

Finally it is noted that the decision Wyeth v. Dudas, has been appealed by the

Office to the Federal Circuit and that appeal is currently pending. The question of law decided

therein will be dispositive on the issue presented in this request and it is assumed that the Office

will conform its calculations of patent term adjustments to the decision on the appeal. Therefore

it is suggested that this request should be held in abeyance until the decision in the Office's

appeal of Wyeth has been issued.

Conclusion

For the reasons given above the applicant requests that the Patent Term

Adjustment of 1718 days provided in the Issue Notification be corrected to 2122 days.

Respectfully submitted, VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

Date: September 9, 20009 By:___ /Walter J. Steinkraus/

> Walter J. Steinkraus Registration No.: 29592

6640 Shady Oak Dr., Suite 400 Eden Prairie, MN 55344-7834 Telephone: (952) 563-3000

Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

f:\wpwork\wjs\09919us01 patent term extension 20090803.doc

Attachments:

July 15, 2008 decision "On Application For Patent Term Adjustment" (2 pages)

Issue notification (1 pg)

Pair Record showing USPTO patent term calculation (2 pages)



United States Patent and Trademark Office

891-374536

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

Paper No.

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD EDEN PRAIRIE MN 55344

MAILED

JUL 1/8L2009 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Jonathan S. Stinson Application No. 10/037,036 Filed: October 25, 2001 Atty Docket No. 563.2-9919-US01

ON APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

This is in response to the APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT, 37 CFR 1.705 filed on December 22, 2008. Applicant requests that the determination of patent term adjustment be increased by one thousand one hundred seventy (1170) days to one thousand nine hundred ten (1910) days. Applicant requests this correction on the basis that the Office will take in excess of three years to issue this patent and in light of the recent court decision in Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138, 88 U.S.P.O. 2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008).

As the instant application for patent term adjustment requests reconsideration of the patent term adjustment as it relates to the Office's failure to issue the patent within 3 years of the filing date, a decision is being held in abeyance until after the actual patent date. Knowledge of the actual date the patent issues is required to calculate the amount, if any, of additional patent term patentee is entitled to for Office failure to issue the patent within 3 years. See § 1.703(b).

Applicant is given TWO (2) MONTHS from the issue date of the patent to file a written request for reconsideration of the

¹Applicant calculates the three year period as 1170 days, counting the number of days over three years until the mailing of the notice of allowance on October 3, 2008. Applicant requests additional days for the interval from the mailing of the notice of allowance to the issue date.

patent term adjustment for Office failure to issue the patent within 3 years. A copy of this decision should accompany the request. Applicant may seek such consideration without payment of an additional fee. However, as to all other bases for seeking reconsideration of the patent term adjustment indicated in the patent, all requirements of § 1.705(d) must be met. Requests for reconsideration on other bases must be timely filed and must include payment of the required fee.

Rather than file the request for reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment at the time of the mailing of the notice of allowance, applicant is advised that they may wait until the time of the issuance of the patent and file a request for reconsideration of the patent term pursuant to 37 CFR 1.705(d). The USPTO notes that it does not calculate the amount of time earned pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(b) until the time of the issuance of the patent and accordingly, the Office will consider any request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment due to an error in the calculation of 37 CFR 1.702(b) to be timely if the request for reconsideration is filed within two months of the issuance of the patent.

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Data Management for issuance of the patent. The patent term adjustment indicated on the patent (as shown on the Issue Notification mailed about three weeks prior to patent issuance) will include any additional adjustment accrued both for Office delay in issuing the patent more than four months after payment of the issue fee and satisfaction of all outstanding requirements, and for the Office taking in excess of three years to issue the patent (to the extent that the three-year period does not overlap with periods already accorded).

Telephone inquiries specific to this decision should be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Douglas I. Wood at (571) 272-3231.

Christina Partere Donnell

Christina Tartera Donnell Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR FATENTS F.O. Box 1459 Abressits, Vigina 22(13-1450) www.scape.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | ESSUB DATE | PATENTINO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---|--------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/037,836 | 08/11/2009 | 7572287 | \$63.2-9919-U801 | 5380 |
| | § STEINKRAUS, P.A. HADY OAK ROAD IN 55344 | JUL 2 8 2009 | | |

ISSUE NOTIFICATION

The projected patent number and issue date are specified above.

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment is 1718 day(s). Any patent to issue from the above-identified application will include an indication of the adjustment on the front page.

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (571)-272-4200.

APPLICANT(s) (Please see PAIR WEB site http://pair.uspto.gov for additional applicants):

Jonathan S. Stinson, Plymouth, MN;



🐒 United States Patent and Trademark Office

Rome | Site Index | Search | FAQ | Glassery | Guides | Contacts | educiness | edit Alerts | News | Help

| Portal Home Patents | Tradomarks Other | ************* |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| Palant aßusiness - | - D Patent Application Information Retrieval | G |
| ill thestronic filing | Order Certified Application As Elled Order Certified File Wrapper 🎇 Vista C | ader List. |
| 🗃 Patent Annikalian Information | AAM21 420 BALLOON EXPANDABLE POLYMER STENT WITH REDUCED | |
| (PAIR) El Ratent Ownershio | ELASTIC RECOIL | (P) |
| El Fres El Supplemental Resources & Eugeod | Select Application Transaction Image File Palem Term Continuity Published A New Case Data Ristory Wrapper Adjustments Data Documents Alt | Accress & orresyAges |
| | Patent Term Adjustment | |
| Patent Information | Filing or 371(c) Date: 10-25-2001 USPTO Delay (PTO) Delay (days): | 1751 |
| Patent Guidance and General Info- | Issue Date of Patent: - Three Years: | - |
| Employee & Coloce Linectories | Pre-Issue Patitions (days): →0 Applicant Delay (APPL) Delay (days): | 33 |
| (ii) Resources & Public Rouces | Post-Issue Petitions (days): +0 Total Patent Term Adjustment (days): | 1718 |
| Patent Searches | USPTO Adjustment (days): +0 Explanation Of Calculations | |
| Patent Official Gazette | Patent Term Adjustment History | |
| 15) Search Patents & Applications 15) Search Skilogical Sequences | Date Contents Description PTO(Days) API | PL(Days) |
| ① Copies, Products & Services | 07-22-2009 PTA 35 Months 868 | |
| Other | 07-13-2009 Dispatch to FOC | |
| Capyrights | 07-07-2009 Mail-Petition Decision - Dismissed * | |
| Tredemarks Polky & Law | 07-07-2009 Petition Decision - Dismissed | |
| Reports | 12-22-2008 Petition Entered * | |
| | 12-31-2008 Application Is Considered Ready for Issue | |
| | 12~23~2008 Jesue Fee Payment Verified & | |
| | 12-23-2008 Issue Fee Payment Received | |
| | 10-03-2008 Mail Notice of Allowance | |
| | 10-01-2008 Document Verification | |
| | 10-01-2008 Notice of Allowance Data Verification Completed | |
| | 09-22-2008 Date Forwarded to Examiner | |
| | 09-16-2008 Appeal Brief Filed | |
| | 09-16-2008 Notice of Appeal FRed | |
| | 06-30-2008 Mail Non-Final Rejection 109 | |
| | 06-23-2008 Non-Final Rejection & | |
| | 12-08-2007 Appeal Brief Review Complete * | |
| | 12-08-2007 Date Forwarded to Examiner 💠 | |
| | 1.1-1.3-2007 Appeal Brief Filed & | |
| | 99-12-2007 Notice of Appeal Filed | |
| | 07-13-2007 Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326) | |
| | 07-05-2007 Final Rejection | |
| | 95-93-2007 Date Forwarded to Examiner | |
| | 04-23-2007 Response after Non-Final Action | |
| | 02-05-2007 Mail Non-Final Rejection | |
| | 92-04-2007 Non-Final Rejection | |
| | 11-25-2006 Date Forwarded to Examiner | |
| | 11-15-2006 Amendment after Final Rejection | |
| | 10-11-2006 Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 325) | |
| | 10-02-2606 Final Rejection | |
| | 98-03-2006 Date Forwarded to Examiner | |
| | 08-01-2006 Response after Non-Final Action | |
| | 97-95-2006 Mail Non-Final Rejection | |
| | | |

| 06-27-2006 | Non-Final Rejection | | |
|------------|--|-----|----|
| 04-17-2006 | Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU | | |
| 03-21-2006 | Date Forwarded to Examiner | | |
| 03-17-2006 | Response to Election / Restriction Flied | | |
| 03-03-2006 | Mail Restriction Requirement | 250 | |
| 03-01-2006 | Requirement for Restriction / Election | * | |
| 12-27-2005 | Date Forwarded to Examiner | * | |
| 62-16-2005 | Appeal Brief Flied | * | |
| 11-29-2005 | Miscellaneous Incoming Letter | | |
| 12-08-2004 | Latter Requesting Interview with Examiner | | |
| 12-20-2004 | Notice of Appeal Filed | | |
| 11-11-2004 | Miscellaneous Incoming Letter | | |
| 10-05-2004 | Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326) | * | |
| 16-64-2004 | Final Rejection | * | |
| 07-21-2004 | IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete | * | |
| 05-15-2003 | Reference cepture on IDS | * | |
| 06-24-2002 | Reference capture on IDS | * | |
| 02~27~2002 | Reference capture on IDS | * | |
| 67-21-2004 | Date Forwarded to Examiner | * | |
| 06-01-2004 | Response after Non-Final Action | | 33 |
| 06-01-2004 | Request for Extension of Time - Granted | | * |
| 06-01-2004 | Workflow Incoming petition IFW | | 倉 |
| 06-61-2004 | Workflow incoming amendment IFW | | * |
| 01-29-2004 | Mail Non-Final Rejection | 400 | |
| 01-28-2004 | Non-Final Rejection | * | |
| 12-04-2003 | Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU | * | |
| 05-15-2003 | Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed | * | |
| 05-15-2003 | Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed | Ŷ | |
| 12-16-2002 | Case Decketed to Examiner in GAU | * | |
| 06-24-2002 | Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed | ø | |
| 06-24-2002 | Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed | * | |
| 02-27-2002 | Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed | * | |
| 02-27-2002 | Information Disclesure Statement (IDS) Filed | * | |
| 03-16-2002 | Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU | * | |
| 92-01-2092 | Application Dispatched from OTPE | * | |
| 81-31-2002 | Application Is New Complete | * | |
| 03-36-2002 | IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review | * | |
| 10-25-2001 | Initial Exam Team nn | * | |
| | | | |

If you need help:

- Call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197 (toil free) or e-mail EBC@uspla.gov for specific questions about Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR).
 Send general questions about USPTO programs to the USPTO Contact Center (UCC).
 If you experience technical difficulties or problems with this application, please report them
- via e-mail to Electronic Business Support or call 1 800-766-9199.

You can suggest USPTO webpages or material you would like featured on this section by E-mail to the webmaster@uscalo.gov. While we cannot premise to accommodate all requests, your suggestions will be considered and may lead to other improvements on the website.